



101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-2119-1989

Date of decision: 06.05.2025

Food Corporation of India and others

...Appellants

Versus

Mahender Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Nitin Rathee, Advocate for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Respondent-plaintiff had filed a suit for declaration with consequential relief of permanent injunction. The trial Court had decreed the suit and had observed that respondent-plaintiff was an ex-combatant clerk and entitled for pay and other service benefits of ex-combatant clerk. Appeal filed by the present appellants was dismissed.

2. A perusal of the paper book would show that there is no interim order in favour of the appellants.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that apparently all the benefits would have been taken by the respondent-plaintiff and that is why, he has not approached the office of his counsel.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that in view of the said subsequent event, the present appeal be disposed of at this stage but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the same in case any person on behalf of the respondent comes to seek any further benefit under the judgment.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Regular Second Appeal is disposed of at this stage with liberty aforesaid.

6. Liberty is also granted to the respondent to revive the case in case any cause survives in the appeal.

06.05.2025

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No