



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

241

CRM-M-30352-2025

Date of decision : 29.05.2025

AMRITPAL SINGH

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present :- Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 BNSS with a prayer to grant of anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.326 dated 19.12.2024, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 3(5), 238 of BNS 2023 (Section 238 BNS added later on) registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case has been got registered by the complainant after a delay of more than 01 months and 10 days. Even as per the allegations levelled by the complainant, the petitioner had attributed an injury from reverse side of *datar* on the backside of left shoulder of the complainant. He next contends that the said injury has been declared to be simple in nature. Learned Counsel further contends that the grievous injury was caused by Manjit

Singh, husband of the complainant and Manjit Singh was arrested by the police. However, Manjit Singh has been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court on 04.03.2025. He next contends that no recovery is to be effected and his custody may not serve any useful purpose.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner. However, he admits that the petitioner is a first offender.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, it has been alleged that Manjit Singh had caused the grievous injuries and after his arrest, he has been ordered to be released on bail by this Court. The petitioner is attributed a simple injury and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

6. Thus, without commenting any further, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Sections 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join the investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and they shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

29.05.2025

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No