



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2866-2017

Date of decision:-26.08.2025

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

Dharam Pal Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Sahil Koul, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of impugned order dated 11.04.2016, Annexure P7, passed by respondent No.2 vide which appeal filed by respondent No.1 has been allowed. Further prayer has been made for directing respondent No.1 to pay an amount of Rs..91,145/- assessed by the petitioners.

2. At the outset counsel for the petitioners submits that a



-2-

204

notice under Section 135 of the Electricity Act, 2003 was issued to respondent No.1, who deposited the amount and offence has been compounded. Counsel states that after depositing the amount, petitioner had challenged it by filing an appeal under Section 127 of the Electricity Act before SDM, who has passed by impugned order dated 11.04.2016, Annexure P7, although he did not have jurisdiction to do so.

3. Upon instructions, counsel for the respondent No.1 states that although the impugned order, Annexure P7, has been passed in his favour ordering refund, but he will not claim the refund thereunder.

4. Counsel for the petitioners submits that as the compounded amount has been deposited, petitioners would not pursue the matter any further.

5. On the basis of statement of counsel for the parties, writ petition is disposed of.

26.08.2025
Brij

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : **Yes/No**
Whether reportable : **Yes/No**