



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

227

CR-549-2010(O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.09.2025

Harminder Singh Arora

.... Petitioner

Versus

Gurmeet Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - None.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present revision petition has been filed for quashing of order dated 29.01.2009 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ludhiana, whereby the application filed by the respondent-plaintiffs under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC has been allowed; and the judgment dated 11.08.2009 (Annexure P-2) passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Ludhiana, vide which the Civil Appeal No. 50 dated 27.02.2009, titled as 'Harminder Singh Arora vs. Gurmeet Singh and others', filed by the petitioner was dismissed thereby maintaining the order dated 29.01.2009, passed by the learned trial Court.

2. The matter pertains to the year 2010 and on the last date of hearing i.e. 24.02.2025, following order was passed by this Court:-

“The matter has been put up before this Court after a long time.

As per office report, learned counsel for the petitioner has been informed about the date fixed, however, there is no representation on behalf of petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents stated that the case pertains to the year 2010 and his brief has been misplaced and even despite his best efforts, he is not in touch



with the respondents. He prays for issuance of notices to the respondents.

In the interest of justice, let actual date of hearing notice be issued to the parties for 17.9.2025. Registry shall also make an effort through the Sarpanch of the concerned village as well as SHO of the concerned area to effect service upon the parties, so that effective steps can be taken to dispose of the matter.”

3. Pursuant thereto, following office report dated 12.09.2025, has been received:-

Through SHO	
Petitioner	Served through Clerk, namely Simranjot Kaur.
Respondents	Served through Clerk, namely Simranjot Kaur.
Through Sarpanch	
Petitioner	There is not post of Sarpanch. It falls in
Respondents No. 1 to 4	Municipal Corporation.

4. Today, again there is no representation on behalf of either of the parties, despite the case having been called twice.

5. In view of the aforesaid premise, issuance of fresh notice to the petitioner would be a futile exercise. It appears that due to sheer long pendency of the present revision petition before this Court for a period of more than 15 years, the petitioner lost interest in pursuing the same, as no attempt has been made by him to contact his counsel or to engage a new counsel. In all, there is every likelihood of the present revision petition having rendered infructuous as, the Civil Suit in question would have been decided the trial Court, concerned.

6. Accordingly, the present revision petition stands **dismissed**.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

17.09.2025
rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No