



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

340

CR-3366-2025(O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.09.2025

Vinod Pahwa

... Petitioner

VERSUS

Sub Divisional Officer & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for modification of the impugned order dated 08.02.2024 passed by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ambala.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents No.3 and 4 has submitted that against the order dated 08.02.2024, the petitioner had filed an appeal, which was withdrawn by him and in order to *prima facie* prove the said fact, he has placed on record Annexure R-7, which is a statement of the petitioner withdrawing the said appeal. It is submitted that in the revision petition, however, in paragraph 8, it has been stated that no such or similar petition has been filed by the petitioner and thus, there is active concealment with respect to factum of filing of the said appeal against the order dated 08.02.2024 and the withdrawal of the same. It is further submitted that, at any rate, once an appeal has been preferred against the order and the same has been withdrawn, the petitioner is estopped from challenging the order dated 08.02.2024 before this Court.



CR-3366-2025(O&M)

-2-

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn as prayed for.

11.09.2025

monika

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No