



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

276

**CRM-M No.57502 of 2024
Date of decision: 12.08.2025**

MANJEET AND ANOTHER**.... Petitioners**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH**

Present : Mr. Anil K. Parmar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ved Prakash, Sr. D.A.G, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for quashing of FIR No. 214 dated 23.08.2021, under Sections 323, 34, 376, 406, 498-A, 506 and 511 of IPC, registered at Police Station Bahuakbarpur, District Rohtak and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise dated 20.09.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. This Court while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 20.11.2024, directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to the compromise.

4. In compliance of abovesaid order, parties did not appear before the trial Court to record their statements. This Court, thereafter, vide order dated 16.12.2024, had again directed the parties to get recorded their statements with regard to the genuineness of compromise. However, as per

**CRM-M No.57502 of 2024**

-2-

report dated 09.01.2025 received from the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rohtak, parties, statement of petitioners/accused namely, Manjit and Santra Devi were recorded but complainant/respondent No.2 did not appear to get recorded her statement.

5. In view of the above fact, it appears that the complainant/respondent No.2 does not intend to effect a compromise in the matter. Accordingly, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

12.08.2025

Jyoti-IV

(KIRTI SINGH)**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No