



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

302

CRM-M No.8710 of 2024

DATE OF DECISION : 29th JANUARY, 2025

Manoj & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

* * * *

Present : Mr. Ajay Kalra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Isha Janjua, Advocate for respondent No.2-complainant.

* * * *

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.25 dated 04.09.2023 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 120-B, 386, 419, 420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Cyber Sonipat, District Sonipat, and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 31.01.2024 (Annexure P-8) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide separate orders dated 13.03.2024/13.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Reports have since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sonapat, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the



parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the copy of statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2, is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report received from the trial court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

29th January, 2025
'raj'

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>