

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-1101-2017

Date of decision: 19.07.2017

Sri Kant

...Petitioner

V/s.

Hardeep Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY.

Present:- Mr. Mani Ram Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate for

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the claim of the petitioner has been considered and speaking order has been passed and the same has already been conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel also submits that there is delay in compliance of the order dated 18.11.2016 and it was to be complied with within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Copy of the order was sent through registered post to the respondent on 28.11.2016. Meaning thereby, order was to be complied with upto 28.02.2017.

Admittedly, there is delay in consideration of the representation. As per order dated 02.05.2017 it was to be complied with within a period of two weeks failing which respondent was directed to be present in Court. Not only contempt petition was to be filed by the petitioner but earlier representation as well as legal notices were also sent

twice. The expenses had to be incurred unnecessarily by the petitioner for compliance of the order and an intentional delay has been caused. A sum of ₹10,000/- is imposed as costs upon the respondent to be paid to the petitioner by way of draft within a period of one month.

Since compliance of the order has been made, nothing survives in the contempt petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

July 19, 2017
Divyanshi

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : **Yes/No**

Whether reportable : **Yes/No**