

2025:PHHC:127488



145

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No. 6488 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.09.2025**

Abdul Aziz @ Abdul Ajij and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Noor Mohammad and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, by way of present revision petition, seek issuance of direction to the learned Reference Court for deciding the LA Case No. 41 of 2016, titled "*Mehmoodi etc. Versus Abdul Majit etc.*" (Annexure P-1) and LA Case No. 42 of 2016, titled "*Abdul Aziz Versus Noor Mohd.*" (Annexure P-2) on the basis of compromise dated 09.02.2024 (Annexure P-4) arrived at between the parties.

[2] Briefly stating, land measuring 6 Kanal 7 Marlas comprised in Rect. No. 96 Killa No. 1/3/2 (1-12), Rect. No. 97, Killa No. 1/2/2 (1-12), Rect. No. 98 Killa No. 4/2/2 (1-10) and 5/2/2 (1-12), situated in the revenue estate of Village Akera, Tehsil Nuh, District Mewat, was acquired by the Government of Haryana for construction of Reservoir for Irrigation in the Kotla Depression in Village Akera; followed by Award No. 1/2015 dated 30.01.2015 passed by the Land Acquisition Collector, Nuh, Mewat (*for*

short “LAC”). Thereafter, the petitioners filed an application (LAC No. 42 of 2016) under Section 30 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short “the Act”) raising dispute of apportionment of the acquired land, which was ordered to be consolidated with LAC No. 41 of 2016, vide order dated 23.03.2021 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Nuh-cum-Reference Court.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the parties have amicably settled the matter by way of compromise dated 09.02.2024 (Annexure P-4), which stood submitted before the Reference Court, while recording the statement of parties on 13.02.2024 (Annexure P-5); thus, prayer is for deciding the aforesaid reference petition(s) in a time bound manner.

[4] Notice of motion.

[5] On asking of the Court, Mr. Vishwajeet, Advocate, appears and files vakalatnama on behalf of contesting respondent Nos. 1 to 4; whereas Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate, appears and files vakalatnama on behalf of *pro forma* respondent Nos. 6 & 7. They admit the factum of the aforesaid compromise dated 09.02.2024 and also pray to decide the aforesaid reference petition(s).

[6] I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper-book.

[7] Considering the fact that the matter has been settled amicably between the parties on 09.02.2024 and the reference petition(s) are still pending, in the humble opinion of this Court, without expressing any opinion on merits of the claim of petitioners, the Reference Court is

requested to decide the aforesaid reference petition(s) as expeditiously as possible, as per law, preferably within a period of one month from the next date fixed in the same, i.e. 15.10.2025, as any further delay is going to cause serious prejudice to the rights of parties.

[8] **Disposed off** accordingly.

[9] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

September 15, 2025

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>