

**CWP-6422-2025(O&M)****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

-.-

CWP-6422-2025(O&M)**Decided on :- 10.03.2025**

Sadr Anjuman Ahmadiyya

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Commissioner of Income Tax Appeals

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Ms. Radhika Suri, Sr. Advocate, with
Ms. Parnika Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Urvashi Dugga, Sr. Penal Counsel, for the respondent.

-.-

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J.

The petitioner in the present writ petition is asking for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent - Commissioner of Income Tax Appeals [CIT(A)] to expeditiously decide the appeal filed by the petitioner, preferably within one month.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner preferred an appeal before the Commissioner of Income Tax Appeals on 28.05.2021 on e-filing portal under Form No.35 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (hereinafter referred to as, 'the Act'). She further contends that on 13.02.2024, the petitioner received notice under Section 250 of the Act to furnish ground-wise written submissions along with supporting documentary evidence(s) and in response to the same the petitioner filed written submissions on 17.05.2024. She further contends that again on 12.07.2024, the same notice under Section 250 of the Act was sent requiring the petitioner to furnish ground-wise written submissions along with supporting documentary evidence(s). The petitioner again

**CWP-6422-2025(O&M)**

filed reply to the notice on 19.07.2024 giving reference to the previous reply dated 17.05.2024 filed by him. The petitioner again received the same notice under Section 250 of the Act on 26.09.2024 requiring the same documents, to which twice the petitioner had already given reply. The petitioner again replied to the notice dated 26.09.2024 on 11.10.2024. She, therefore, contends that despite responding to the notices under Section 250 of the Act thrice, the appeal has not been decided by the Commissioner of Income Tax Appeals. She, therefore, prays that the directions be issued to the respondent-Appellate Authority to decide the appeal in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent does not oppose the request made by learned senior counsel for the petitioner.

4. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the whole record of the case in hand.

5. A perusal of the record shows that the appeal is pending since 28.05.2021 and till date there is no progress in the proceedings.

6. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent – Commissioner of Income Tax Appeals to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner within a period of six months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

March 10, 2025
tripti

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : Yes