

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-1249-2016

Date of decision: 20.3.2018

Pankaj Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

G.Bijralingam and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr.Surmukh Singh, Advocate for the petitioners

Ms.Monica Chhibber Sharma, Sr.DAG, Punjab  
assisted by Sh.Ram Pal Singh, District Education Officer  
(Elementary Education), Jalandhar

\*\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.**

The present contempt petition under Section 2 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 has been filed for wilful disobedience for not implementing the order dated 2.2.2016 passed by this Court in CWP-2080-2016 (Annexure P-1) titled as “Pankaj Kumar and others vs. State of Punjab and others”.

As per reply filed by the State, the representation and legal notice (Annexure P-14 and P-16) have been considered by the competent authority i.e. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Jalandhar and the provisional seniority of JBT/ ETT cadre including the seniority of petitioners was prepared and the objections were called. After considering the objections, the seniority of JBT/ ETT, the petitioners have also been

promoted as Head Teacher vide order dated 17.9.2016 (Annexure R-1) and therefore, it is asserted that the order has been complied with.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners states that after promotion, stations have not been allotted to the petitioners.

On instructions, learned State counsel submits that the needful shall be done within two weeks from today.

In view of the above, as the intended purpose of filing the present petition has been fully achieved, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

20.3.2018  
gsv

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking / reasoned?**  
**Whether reportable?**

**Yes / No**  
**Yes / No**