



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28663-2025

Date of decision : 24.09.2025

ICICI Home Finance Limited

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate (arguing counsel), and  
Ms. Ankita Gupta, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE ( Oral )**

1. This petition has been filed by petitioner, which is a financial institution, aggrieved by non-execution of order dated 30.01.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by District Magistrate, Kurukshetra (respondent No.2), under the provisions of Section 14 of Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (in short, 'SARFAESI Act').

2. It is rather surprising that Tehsildar, Shahbad (respondent No.3), has failed to discharge his statutory duty of assisting and handing over physical possession of secured asset to petitioner – financial institution.

2.1 Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) are a huge burden on the public exchequer, banking and financial system, and, thus, prompt enforcement of



recovery mechanism under the SARFAESI Act is paramount for liquidity in the system.

3. In view of the above, this Court by way of writ of mandamus directs respondent No.3 to execute the order dated 30.01.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2 u/s 14 of SARFAESI Act, by handing over physical possession of secured asset to petitioner – financial institution, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of thirty days. Petitioner – financial institution, thereafter, can proceed to adopt all possible legitimate means to liquidate secured asset to recover the due amount.

4. This petition for the time being stands disposed of in the terms aforesaid. Needless to say that the guidelines laid down by Coordinate Bench in **Bank of Maharashtra Vs. District Magistrate, Hisar and others** (CWP-7018-2022 decided on 28.05.2024) be adhered to by the concerned authorities.

5. We hasten to add that this order shall, however, be subject to any restraint/interim/final order which may have been passed by any judicial forum, in favour of the borrowers/guarantor/any aggrieved person, who is party to this lis.

( SHEEL NAGU )  
CHIEF JUSTICE

( SANJIV BERRY )  
JUDGE

September 24, 2025  
narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No