



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

306

CRM-M-17613-2025

Date of decision: May 26<sup>th</sup>, 2025

Lucky and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Ms. Bhumika Khatri, Advocate  
for Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Neha Bindal, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.526 dated 01.08.2024 under Sections 115, 118(1), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 324(4), 117(2), 118(2) of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sirsa City, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 01.04.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 30.04.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned CJM, Sirsa, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and

confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned CJM, Sirsa, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

May 26<sup>th</sup>, 2025  
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No