



IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

108

CWP-22104-2024

Date of Decision: 27.02.2025

AMIT KUMAR

... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Jyoti Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. R.D. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondent-Union of India.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking directions to the respondents to give pay scale and all other consequential benefits at par with other similar situated persons, who joined in the year 2016 on the post of Constable (Driver) in ITBP-2015.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this juncture, the petitioner would be satisfied in case respondent No.4-Commandant of ITBP, 47BN, Samba (Jammu and Kashmir) is directed to decide the representation dated 30.08.2023 (Annexure P-4) and

**CWP-22104-2024**

-2-

the grievance espoused by the petitioner therein by passing a reasoned and speaking order thereupon in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel appears on behalf of the respondents-Union of India and submits that no such representation has been supplied by the petitioner and he also raised no objection to the aforesaid prayer being granted.

5. Accordingly, with the consent of the parties and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of and respondent No.4-Commandant of ITBP, 47BN, Samba (Jammu and Kashmir), is directed to treat this petition as a representation and to pass a reasoned and speaking order thereupon in accordance with law preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

FEBRUARY 27, 2025*Vishal Sharma***(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No