



Dr. Harminder Singh Bains

....Petitioner

VS.

Panjab University Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Gursimran Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Akshay Kumar Goel, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 and 2

Dr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate
for respondent No. 3

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 18.09.2025, the following order was passed by this Court:-

"1. xxxx xxxx xxxx

2. *The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 26.03.2025 whereby Dr. Pooja Sood, Associate Professor has been designated as Chairperson, PUSSGRC, Hoshiarpur (UILS). 3. The petitioner is posted as Director-Professor with Panjab University, Swami Sarvanand Giri Regional Centre, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur. He claims that respondent-University is trying to take away his authority. He, on two*



occasions, approached this Court. This Court vide order dated 01.06.2023 and 14.03.2024 protected his authority. The respondent by impugned order has appointed an Assistant Professor as Chairperson just to interfere with his authority. The petitioner was appointed against a specially carved out post of Director-Professor. The respondent-University has wrongly applied policy of rotation to UILS whereas said policy is inapplicable to three disciplines i.e. UILS (Law), DCSA and UIET (Engineering). The respondent passed resolution dated 27.05.2023 in the meeting of Syndicate whereby it was resolved that Academic In-Charge, by seniority, by rotation, for three years be designated for all the three disciplines i.e. Engineering, M.C.A. and Law starting from the senior-most persons from amongst three disciplines. The said resolution is inapplicable till the petitioner is holding post of Director-Professor as he is not amenable to the seniority with the faculty members of Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur. The impugned order has led to total chaos, financial mismanagement and overall indiscipline in the campus.

4. Adjourned to 25.09.2025.”

2. The aforesaid order was followed by order dated 25.09.2025 which reads as under:-

“ Memorandum of Appearance filed by Mr. Akshay Kumar Goel, Advocate on behalf of respondents No. 1 & 2 and Power of Attorney filed by Dr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate on behalf of respondent No. 3 are taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

Mr. Akshay Kumar Goel, Advocate and Dr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate submit that issue involved herein is



indirectly pending before Division Bench of this Court.

The matter may be adjourned for next week.

On their request, adjourned to 09.10.2025.”

3. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that in view of order dated 26.09.2023 passed by Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 10386 of 2023 , the instant petition has rendered infructuous.

4. In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of as infructuous without commenting upon legality of the impugned order.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

09.10.2025
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No