



**Sr. No.114**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT**  
**CHANDIGARH**

**CR-6618-2024**

**Date of Decision : 12.03.2025**

Jaswinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India through NHAI and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI**

Present : Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate and  
Mr. Nitin Mehta, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,  
Ms. Priya Kaushik, Advocate and  
Ms. Preetleen Kaur, Advocate  
for respondent No.1-NHAI.

Mr. Brijesh, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**LAPITA BANERJI, J. (Oral)**

In the present civil revision, the petitioner has challenged the inordinate delay in disposing the application under Section 36(2) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short 'the 1996 Act') by the Additional District Judge, Ferozepur. The petitioner has prayed that his application under Section 36 (2) of the 1996 Act to be decided in a time bound manner.

2. Vide order dated November 13, 2024, a Coordinate Bench of this Court directed the Additional District Judge, Ferozepur to decide the application filed under Section 36(2) of the 1996 Act, prior to the date fixed by this Court. A report to that effect was also called for.

3. Vide report dated January 9, 2025 this Court has been informed by the learned Additional District Judge, Ferozepur that the

**CR-6618-2024**

application under Section 36(2) of the 1996 Act has been disposed of vide order dated January 08, 2025.

4. Accordingly, nothing further remains to be decided in the present civil revision as the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed.

5. Consequently, Civil Revision No.6618 of 2024 is **disposed** of.

6. Connected applications, if any, are also accordingly disposed of.

**(LAPITA BANERJI)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 12, 2025**

Vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No