



CRM-M-12154-2025 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

130

CRM-M-12154-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 26.03.2025

Amarjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Priti Arya, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Pankaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Geeteshwar Saini, Advocate for respondent No.2.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**CRM-12206-2025**

This application is for placing on record the original affidavit of respondent No.2/complainant, namely, Hari Krishan dated 20.03.2025 qua the compromise as Annexure P-4, in compliance of order dated 04.03.2025.

2. Heard.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the original affidavit of respondent No.2/complainant, namely, Hari Krishan dated 20.03.2025 qua the compromise as Annexure P-4 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short-‘BNSS’) for quashing of FIR

**CRM-M-12154-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

No.286 dated 11.12.2021, under Sections 323, 324, 341 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) and an affidavit of respondent No.2 (Annexure P-4) whereby the petitioner claims to have settled his differences with complainant/respondent No.2.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Geeteshwar Saini, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed his vakalatnama in Court today, which is taken on record. He confirms the factum of the compromise having been effected between the parties and states that respondent No.2 has no objection to the quashing of the FIR in question.

3. In that view of the matter, even if this case is taken to its logical conclusion, it would only be an exercise in futility as the parties have already settled their differences. Therefore, no purpose would be served in wasting the time and resources of the State in pursuing this case to its pre-ordained end. It would, therefore, be better to give a quietus to the issue at this stage.

4. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No.286 dated 11.12.2021, under Sections 323, 324, 341 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner shall stand quashed.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

26.03.2025

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No