



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

**CRM-M-28061-2025  
Date of decision: 26.05.2025**

ANJALI

...Petitioner

**Versus**

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present : Mr.Rajesh Bansal , Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

**SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)**

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

<b>Name of Petitioner(s)</b>	<b>FIR No.</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Section(s)</b>	<b>Police Station</b>	<b>District</b>
<b>Anjali</b>	<b>0191</b>	<b>09.09.2024</b>	<b>34, 406 and 420 of IPC, 1860 (later on added Section 3 of Haryana Protection of Interest of Depositors in Financial Establishm ent Act, 2013 and</b>	<b>City Safidon</b>	<b>Jind</b>



			<b>Section 21(1) (2) (3) of Banning of Unregulate d Deposit Scheme Act, 2019)</b>		
--	--	--	---	--	--

2. While referring to the compromise dated 11.09.2024 (Annexure P-3), counsel submits that the amount in question has already been received by the complainant Naveen and other interested persons/victims and thereon the proceedings in the FIR *qua* the co-accused/main accused-Rinku Dhanda and Sonia Dhanda and Manpreet Singh have already been quashed by this Court vide order dated 21.10.2024 in CRM-M-46717-2024 (Annexure P-9).

He further submits that the petitioner has no control over the management of the company that received the amount, and being merely an employee, is facing prosecution and has been in jail since 07.02.2025. After completion of the investigation, the challan was submitted on 03.04.2025, and the process of recording statements is yet to begin. Therefore, he prays for the grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the complainant is unable to dispute the factual submissions addressed by the counsel for the petitioner. The investigation in the case is complete, and the challan has been submitted before the concerned Court. Apart from the complainant-Naveen, there are other victims as well who have allegedly been duped by the petitioner and her co-accused.

Upon this counsel refers to the compromise dated 11.09.2024 (Annexure P-3), wherein names of the other victims have also been mentioned, who have entered into the agreement and the FIR has already been quashed.

3. Learned State counsel, produces the custody certificate dated 25.05.2025 in Court today, which is taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place. A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner. As per the custody certificate, in the present case, petitioner has already undergone 03 months and 17 days period inside the jail.

4. He further submits that the petitioner is stated to be involved in three



- 3-

other cases also.

5. I have considered the submissions addressed by the respective counsel for the parties and gone through the petition.

6. Considering the totality of circumstances, the facts and allegations leveled against the petitioner, and the fact that she has already undergone a period of 03 months and 17 days in jail, and cannot be kept behind bars indefinitely, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner."

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to her furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

9. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

10. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

26.05.2025  
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No