

2025:PHHC:035853



S. No.208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.290 of 2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision:17.03.2025

M/s Gian Chand and Sons and another

.....Appellants

Vs.

Smt. Krishna Kumari and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Ms. Himani Sharma, Advocate with
Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Munish Jolly, Advocate with
Mr. Sanjay Jangra, Advocate for the respondent.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that despite all possible efforts, the appellants are not in contact. It is despite the fact that both the appellants are residents of Chandigarh itself.

2. Perusal of the file would reveal that the suit was filed by the plaintiffs- Krishna Kumari and Krazano Devi (respondents herein) seeking decree of permanent and mandatory injunction to direct the defendants not to store goods on a platform in front of tenanted premises on the ground floor of Godown No.178, Grain Market, Sector 26, Chandigarh. The said suit was decreed by the trial Court on 29.04.1994. The appeal filed by the defendants was dismissed by the First Appellate Court on 03.10.2000. Against the concurrent findings of the Courts below, the defendants have approached this Court.

3. As noted above, counsel for the appellants are unable to contact their clients though both the appellants are residents of Chandigarh. Nobody else has

2025:PHHC:035853



RSA No.290 of 2001 (O&M) -2-

appeared on their behalf. Counsel for the respondents also submits that respondents had already sold the property.

5. In view of the afore-said facts and circumstances, the present appeal is hereby dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

March 17, 2025
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No