



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23750-2025

Date of Decision:08.05.2025

Deepak Bharti

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Gourav Rana, Advocate with
Mr. Kunal Gupta, Advocate
Ms. Japanjot Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No. 561, dated 11.10.2024, registered under Sections 309(6) of B.N.S, 238(a), 317(2),319 and 341(1) of B.N.S added later on and under Section 25 of Arms Act, Police Station Sector 32-33, District Karnal, Haryana (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR nor any physical description was mentioned by the complainant. The only allegations levelled by the complainant in the present F.I.R that some unknown persons had snatched a sum of Rs.1200/- from the complainant. The complainant had apprehended Rahul @Tahir at the spot and he named the petitioner as one of the accused in the present case. Learned counsel further contends that petitioner was arrested in the present case on 12.10.2024 and is in custody for the last about six months. The challan has

already been presented against the petitioner and the charge is yet to be framed against him. Thus, the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time. Apart from that, the petitioner is a first offender and was never involved in any other criminal activity.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. As per the case set up by the prosecution, Rahul @ Tahir was apprehended at the spot by the complainant and the petitioner was named by him as co-accused, however, no recovery was effected from the present petitioner. The final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C has already been presented against him and the prosecution has not been able to examine even a single witness so far. Thus, there are no chances of earlier conclusion of the trial.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on his furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

08.05.2025
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No