



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

203-2

CRM-M-27217-2025  
Date of decision: 11.07.2025

Baldev Singh @ Deba

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate for  
Mr. R.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab assisted by  
ASI Manjinder Singh.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.36 dated 15.03.2025 under Sections 21-B and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the following order dated 28.05.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency:-

*“Reply not filed, however, it is stated by learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh, Investigating Officer of the case, submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR but has been nominated on the disclosure statement of co-accused Sukhwinder Singh from whom 47 gram of heroin has been recovered.*

2. *Heard.*

3. *After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, it transpires that the petitioner is not named in the FIR but has been nominated on the alleged disclosure*



CRM-M-27217-2025

*statement of co-accused Sukhwinder Singh.*

*4. It is not disputed that except for the said disclosure statement nothing substantive has come on record against the petitioner.*

*5. Be it the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.*

*6. Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing.*

*7. Adjourned to 11.07.2025.”*

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has not disputed the submissions made by the counsel opposite. It has also not been disputed by the learned State counsel that the petitioner has no previous criminal antecedents. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 28.05.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS. Needless to add, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the same.

11.07.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No