



CRM-M-29540-2025 (O&amp;M)

-1-

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M-29540-2025 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 01.07.2025**

Manjeet @ Amit

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present: Ms. M.N. Jajoria, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present is a second bail petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.444 dated 05.06.2023, under Sections 328, 384, 376, 376(2)(n), 406, 506, 341, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Barwala, District Hisar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is in custody for 1 year 9 months and 26 days and he was not the main accused and the main allegations were against one other co-accused, namely, Vikas and so far as the allegations of rape and demanding or deposit of money are concerned, the same was not attributed to the petitioner and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. She further submitted that earlier also a bail petition bearing CRM-M-14078-2024 was filed by the petitioner before this Court which was dismissed on merits on 01.04.2025 but now the aforesaid main accused has been arrested and therefore, he may be considered for grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, Mr. Vishal Kashyap, learned DAG, Haryana



**CRM-M-29540-2025 (O&M)**

**-2-**

has opposed the grant of regular bail to the petitioner even on the ground of maintainability and submitted that the earlier bail petition was dismissed by this Court on 01.04.2025 and just after about 1½ months the petitioner has filed the present bail petition without any change of circumstance. He further submitted that at the time when earlier bail petition was dismissed, the complainant was not examined and till date the complainant has not been examined and in this way, the present bail petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties, this Court is of the considered view that earlier when the petitioner had filed a bail petition before this Court, the same was dismissed on merits on 01.04.2025 and just after about 1½ months, the petitioner has filed the present bail petition. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also not been able to show any change of circumstance.

5. In view of the above, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

6. Since the main case has been dismissed, all the pending applications also stand disposed of.

7. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is only meant for the purpose of decision of present petition.

**01.07.2025**

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**

*Bhumika*

**JUDGE**

|                              |        |
|------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable:       | Yes/No |