

2025:PHHC:116610



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

137

**CWP 25504 of 2025
Date of Decision: 29.08.2025**

Mohan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Mukesh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner (through V.C.).

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition before this Court with a prayer to issue writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents No. 1 and 2 to grant pension and other retiral benefits to the petitioner, who is having unblemished service record and had retired on 30.04.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the law laid down by this Court in CWP-28933-2022 titled as "***Baljit Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others***". Learned counsel next contends that the

petitioner has already submitted a legal notice-cum-representation dated 28.04.2025 (Annexure P-8) to the official respondents and no decision has been conveyed to him by the respondents so far and he shall be satisfied, in case appropriate directions are issued to the respondents, at this stage, to decide the legal notice/representation submitted by the petitioner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and has no serious objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, at this stage.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

7. At this stage, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice-cum-representation dated 28.04.2025 (Annexure P-8) submitted by the petitioner within a period of two months of date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. While deciding the representation, the respondent No.2 shall take into consideration the law laid down by this Hon'ble Court in the matter of CWP-28933-2022 titled as "Baljit Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others" (Annexure P-3) and all other relevant rules/instructions of the respondents-department.

9. It is expected that respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order and in case, it is found that the petitioner is

entitled to any relief, all consequential benefits may also be allowed to him, in accordance with law.

10. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

29.08.2025
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No