

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

128

CWP No.10640 of 2025
DATE OF DECISION : 19TH MAY, 2025

Mahender Singh Chawla

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Abhishek Chhokar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

* * * *

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking direction to respondent No.1, for taking action against the erring officials who had concealed the representation dated 02.03.2022 given by the petitioner, and also to comply with the investigation report dated 08.11.2024 (Annexure P-5)

2. Succinctly, this is the second round of litigation, as at an earlier occasion the petitioner has approached this court by filing a CWP No.30066 of 2024, wherethrough, the writ of mandamus was sought to be passed upon the Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil), Samalkha to complete the inquiry, initiated against respondents No.4 & 5 (therein), for misplacing the applications filed by the petitioner seeking demarcation. During pendency of aforesaid writ petition, respondent-State informed this court that inquiry has already been completed. Since grievance of the petitioner was redressed, as the only direction, which was sought



therein, was for completion of inquiry, and subsequently the writ petition was accordingly disposed of.

3. Now, the petitioner has again approached this court on an account of fact that no further action on the inquiry report has been taken by respondent No.3.

4. The inaction of respondent No.3 propelled the petitioner to approach this court by filing the instant petition, seeking direction to take inquiry report to its logical end, despite there being numerous representations filed by the petitioner.

5. At this stage, this court is of the considered opinion that instant petition can be disposed of with a direction upon the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, concerned (respondent No.3), to take a final decision upon the inquiry report, by passing a speaking order, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Disposed of with the aforesaid direction.

19TH MAY, 2025
'raj'

(KULDIP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes* *No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes* *No*