



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

221

RSA-857-2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.08.2025

Surjit Singh and another

.... Appellants

Versus

Resham Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - None for the appellants.

Mr. Rajbir Wasu, Advocate for the respondent.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The plaintiff-appellants are in second appeal against the concurrent findings rendered by both the Courts below whereby the suit for permanent injunction filed by the plaintiffs was dismissed.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 13.01.2025, when this case was listed for hearing, the appellants had gone un-represented and following order was passed by this Court:-

“As per office report dated 09.01.2025, learned counsel for the appellant has been duly informed about the date fixed. However, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

Let fresh notice be issued to the appellants, for 07.08.2025.”

3. As per office report dated 05.08.2025, notices issued to the appellants have been received back duly served. Yet, none has put in appearance on their behalf.



4. In the aforesaid premise, issuance of fresh notices to appellants would be a futile exercise. It appears that due to sheer long pendency of the present second appeal before this Court for a period of more than 12 years, the appellants have lost interest in pursuing the same, as no attempt has been made by them to contact their counsel or to engage a new counsel.

5. Be that as it may, in view of the foregoing circumstances, the instant regular second appeal is **disposed of**.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of.

07.08.2025

rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No